recall a reference to the "orange socks" case 1 In fact, on the tape the sheriff specifically 2 says he's not going to talk about this case, 3 doesn't he? 4 I believe there is reference to that. 5 MR. WALSH: I'll pass the witness. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Higginbotham? 7 MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: No further 8 questions. 9 THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. 10 McCollough. 11 12 (The witness, Parker McCollough, was 13 excused.) 14 15 16 DON HIGGINBOTHAM 17 appeared as a witness on behalf of the Defense and, 18 being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 19 follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. PARKER: 22 Q State your name for the record. 23 Don Higginbotham. 24 Mr. Higginbotham, are you one of the 25

court-appointed attorneys for Henry Lee Lucas in this cause?

A I am.

- Are you familiar with an order entered by this Court? The order is dated the 14th day of November 1983, signed on the 21st day of November 1983, and filed on the 21st day of November 1983 by Judge Carter regarding questioning and interrogation of the Defendant, Henry Lee Lucas.
- A Yes, I'm familiar with that order.
- Are you familiar with portions of this order that state: "The Defendant may be interrogated or questioned by law enforcement officers only if he consents to said interrogation, and only after the Sheriff of Williamson County, Texas, has advised the attorneys for the Defendant herein that he is to be interrogated"?
- A Yes, I am familiar with those portions.
- You're also familiar with the -- another portion of the order that states: "It is further ordered that the Defendant is not to be interrogated by anyone concerning the offense for which he has been indicted herein."
- A Yes, I'm familiar with that.

- Q Were you notified by the sheriff or any other person involving law enforcement or any person from the District Attorney's Office about this particular interrogation with the videotaped
- A No, I was not.

session?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PARKER: I'll pass the witness.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. WALSH:

- Mr. Higginbotham, is it correct that at some point in time, the Sheriff and you and Mr. McCollough did reach some form of understanding that he didn't have to call you every time someone wanted to talk to Lucas?
- A That's not quite accurate, if I may be allowed to explain.
- Q Go ahead.
- A Shortly after the November the 14th order that we're talking about, it came to my attention that the Sheriff of Williamson County and many other law enforcement officers were interrogating Henry Lee Lucas. The way that came to my attention was, very frankly, at least daily phone calls from the Sheriff of Williamson County. After approximately a week, it became obvious that there was no way to keep up with what was happening as to the interrogation of Henry Lucas.

At that point in time, I told the sheriff, or we agreed, that on cases that did not pertain to the particular case that we were talking to -- and I specifically remember

I even remember saying, "the orange sock case," because that is what we have traditionally called this case. I said something to the effect that there is no reason for me to come to the jail.

However, later on in the conduct of the defense of this case, I did mention to the sheriff and to others, particularly Sgt. Bob Prince of the task force, that we needed notification concerning interrogation schedules because, frankly, we were finding we could not get to our client when we needed to sometimes.

Q Is that all?

- A That's all.
- Q I will ask you the same thing I asked Mr.

  McCollough. Where on this tape is the orange socks case discussed?
- A It is not, but it is here.

MR. WALSH: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Parker.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PARKER:

Q Mr. Higginbotham, had you just completed a

3 |

1.5

pretrial in this case sometime right before this particular tape would have been made?

We completed a pretrial, to the best of my recollection, on January, Friday the 13th.

Are you talking about when this tape was made? This tape was made in February, as I understand it. I don't know when it was made for sure, because I wasn't there.

MR. PARKER: Pass the witness. THE COURT: Step down, please.

(The witness, Don Higginbotham, was excused.)

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: We would renew our objections, Your Honor. We would object further. Our objections, we would object further on the grounds that taking this tape does, in truth and fact, constitute a discussion of this case. It is sought to be introduced into this case and, consequently, has an impact on this case; and that any sort of protestations at the front preambles to this tape stating that this does not pertain to any particular case is simply

self serving. And we would object upon the reasons that I stated, the fact we were not notified, and that this -- and we would urge that this tape does directly bear on this case or it would not be here. So, consequently, we object to the admission of this tape.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MR. HIGGINBOTHAM: Would you note our exception, please.

THE COURT: Noted.

Anything else?

MR. WALSH: Your Honor, I have a note that my officer from Travis County has just gotten here, and I need to talk to him just a few minutes before I put him on. We could either take a break or else we can go ahead and present the evidence of the Abilene officer to the jury, and maybe I could talk to him during lunch.

THE COURT: It's 11:15. Why don't we try to get all this preliminary outside-the-presence-of-the-jury thing done before lunch and let the jury go on to lunch, and we'll start up after lunch with presenting